

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,340	01/18/2002	Lou Chauvin	83304EF-P	9965
Milton S. Sales	7590 02/10/200	EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			CHANKONG, DOHM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2452	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/051,340		CHAUVIN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DOHM CHANKONG	2452		

	DOHM CHANKONG	2452					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FINGT REPLI WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since a				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ul>	•	lucina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially rec	adding of onlipinging a	10 100000 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	l6 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u></u> ,						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alloword non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 23-29,31,32 and 34-43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Dohm Chankong/						
	Examiner, Art Unit 2452						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant proposes amending the claims to recite that the order terminal is controlled by a business entity rather than being associated with a business entity. This amendment, however subtly, still affects the claim's scope by limiting the order terminal in a different manner. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises a new issue that requires further consideration. The other proposed amendments also affect the claim's scope and therefore also would require further consideration.